

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/662,008 | 09/11/2003 | Michael Thomas Riebe | PG3411US2 | 8593 |
| 23347 | 7590 07/18/2005 | EXAMINER | | INER |
| GLAXOSMITHKLINE | | | EREZO, DARWIN P | |
| CORPORAT | E INTELLECTUAL PRO | OPERTY, MAI B475 | | |
| FIVE MOORE DR., PO BOX 13398 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27709-3398 | | | 3731 | |

DATE MAILED: 07/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| <u> </u> | | | <u>ک</u> | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | V | | | |
| | 10/662,008 | RIEBE ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Darwin P. Erezo | 3731 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day sill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timety. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Se | eptember 2003. | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 10. | wn from consideration. r election requirement. er. epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Setion is required if the drawing(s) is objected. | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | ion No. <u>09/646270</u> . ed in this National Stage | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/11/03:4/2/04. | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other: | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/662,008

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 6,253,762 to Britto in view of US 5,490,497 to Chippendale et al.

Britto teaches an aerosol container/inhalation device comprising a vial body containing an aerosol formulation of a medicament (fluticasone propionate; col. 2, lines 45-55) in a hydrogen-containing fluorocarbon liquid propellant (1,1,1,2-tetrafluoroethane; col. 4, lines 18-20) that is free of adjuvants; a valve 3 for dispensing a metered amount of the aerosol; wherein the valve is composed of non-fluorinated polymers (acetal; col. 4, line 57) and fluorinated polymers (PTFE; col. 4, line 67); wherein a part of the surface of the valve is in contact with the fluorinated polymer; wherein the polymer is plasma coated. Britto is silent with regards to the specifics of the valve.

Chippendale teaches a well-known valve in the art formed from plastic and comprising a valve body defining a metering chamber 2 configured to contain a metered amount of an aerosol formulation; a transfer passage 5 through which the metered amount of the aerosol formulation is able to pass from a vial body into the metering

Application/Control Number: 10/662,008

Art Unit: 3731

chamber; and dispensing means **6** which enables the metered amount of the aerosol formulation to be dispensed form the metering chamber.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the valve of Chippendale in the device of Britto because a valve having a metering valve, transfer passage and dispensing means is well known in the art and would be usable with the invention of Britto. Furthermore, Britto teaches the process of coating the internal surfaces of any well known canisters having a metering valve.

As to claims 2 and 13, Britto is silent with regards to the weight percentage of the fluorinated polymer. However, Britto teaches that the fluorinated polymer is coated onto the valve formed from non-fluorinated polymer. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a coating of about 5% weight of the valve since discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

As to claims 5 and 16, it should be noted that the claims are directed towards a product by process claim, therefore, the claim is not limited to the manipulation of the recited step, only the structure implied by the step.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Darwin P. Erezo whose telephone number is (571) 272-4695. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30-4:00).

Application/Control Number: 10/662,008 Page 4

Art Unit: 3731

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan T. Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

de

GLENN K. DAWSON PRIMARY EXAMILER